Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/536,941	BRADLEY ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jaison P. Thomas	1796
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Jaison P. Thomas.	(3)	
(2) Scott Cummings.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 November 2009	Time: <u>12:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant	nt's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 23		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Miyoshi et al. (US Patent App. Pub. No. 2003/0134963))		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Amendment of Claim 23 to include a Markush group of waxes de phase to overcome the prior art. The proposal was declined.	fined on pgs.5-6 of the instant sp	ecification in the dispersed
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate n directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Applicant'Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Applicant(s)